



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10/752,124	1/6/04	G. HOLAM PEYMAN	PMAN/2SCP
10/667,161	9/19/03	" "	PMAN-25

EXAMINER	
H. SHEIKH	

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1615	

DATE MAILED:

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) HUMERA N. SHEIKH (3)
(2) BEVERLY A. LYMAN, Ph.D. (4)

Date of Interview: 31 August 2005

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Televideo Conference ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes ☒ No If yes, brief description: _____

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: DE RECORD (claims 1-34 424 App/N.) (Claims 1-36 '161 App/N.)

Identification of prior art discussed: UENO - USPN 6,872,383 (Edwards et al. USPN 6,670,398)
(Kaswan 6 USPN 5,411,952) (Tuse et al. USPN 6,482,799)

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed parent case (10/667,161) + CIP (10/752,124). Suggestions were made to incorporate a 'replacement intraocular lens' of claims 17 + 22, for instance into claim 1 (for '161 app/N.). Also, to submit data in the form of declaration showing unexpected results. For '124 app/N., suggestions were made to incorporate claim 2 limitations (chord, etc.)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.

retina or uvea) into claim 1. Since claim 1 is silent regarding duration, suggestions also made to incorporate a time period (i.e., extended release). Suggestions were to show unexpected results of instant invention over the UENO (1383 reference). Applicant's response is currently due + will be reviewed carefully upon receipt. No specific comments at this time. Vincent M. Neill